

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 14-1064V

Filed: November 9, 2015

Not for Publication

MARC COSENTINO,

*

*

Petitioner,

*

*

Attorneys' fees and costs decision;
reasonable hourly rate

*

v.

*

*

SECRETARY OF HEALTH
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

*

*

*

Respondent.

*

*

Ronald C. Homer, Boston, MA, for petitioner.

Claudia B. Gangi, Washington, DC, for respondent.

MILLMAN, Special Master

DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On October 31, 2014, petitioner filed a petition under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10-34 (2012) ("Vaccine Act"), alleging that he suffered Transverse Myelitis as a result of his receipt of the Tetanus-diphtheria-acellular-pertussis ("Tdap") and influenza ("flu") vaccinations on November 6, 2012. The parties filed a stipulation on damages on June 12, 2015. The undersigned issued a decision pursuant to the stipulation on the same date, awarding petitioner \$200,000 in compensation.

On October 16, 2015, petitioner filed a Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, requesting \$12,917.00 in attorneys' fees, \$278.99 in attorneys' costs, and \$400.00 in petitioner's costs. On November 2, 2015, respondent filed a response to petitioner's motion. Although respondent

¹ Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioners have 14 days to identify and move to redact such information prior to the document's disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special master shall redact such material from public access.

raised an objection to the hourly rates requested by petitioners' counsel in this case², she declined in light of the decision in McCulloch v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, No. 09-293V, 2015 WL 5634323 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Sept. 1, 2015), to further litigate that issue and instead "respectfully defers to the special master's statutory discretion in determining a reasonable fee award for this case." Resp't's Response, at 2. The matter is now ripe for adjudication.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of "reasonable attorneys' fees" and "other costs." 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e)(1). Counsel must not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." Saxton v. Sec'y of HHS, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting Hensley v. Eckerhart, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." Id. Furthermore, the special master may reduce fees *sua sponte*, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing petitioners notice and opportunity to respond. See Sabella v. Sec'y of HHS, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 208–09 (Fed. Cl. 2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. Broekelschen v. Sec'y of HHS, 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (Fed. Cl. 2011).

For the same reasons given in Tomlinson, the undersigned reduces the amount of fees awarded to petitioner's counsel for the review work done by attorney Ronald Homer. Tomlinson v. Sec'y of HHS, No. 13-736V, issued October 23, 2015, at 6-7. Mr. Homer billed \$840.00 for his work on this case. The undersigned has reviewed the billings submitted by petitioner's counsel, and finds that roughly 85% of the work done by Mr. Homer on this case was review work. Therefore, she will reduce 85% of Mr. Homer's time, or \$714.00, by 25%, the percentage difference between Mr. Homer's hourly rate and the hourly rate of Christine Ciampolillo, the attorney most closely involved in the case. **Therefore, the undersigned reduces petitioner's fees by \$178.50.**

The undersigned finds an award of attorneys' fees and costs appropriate. In sum, the undersigned awards petitioner the following amount for attorneys' fees and costs:

Requested Attorneys' Fees:	\$12,917.00
Reductions:	\$178.50
Attorneys' Fees Awarded:	\$12,738.50
Requested Attorneys' Costs:	\$278.99
Reductions:	\$0.00
Attorneys' Costs Awarded:	\$278.99
Requested Petitioner's Costs:	\$400.00
Reductions:	\$0.00

² Respondent indicated that she stands by the arguments and evidence she put forth in Tomlinson v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 13-736V, pertaining to the appropriate hourly rates for petitioner's counsel. Resp't's Response, at 1.

Petitioner's Costs Awarded:	\$400.00
Attorneys' Fees & Costs Awarded:	\$13,017.49
Petitioner's Costs Awarded:	\$400.00
<u>Total Requested Fees & Costs:</u>	\$13,595.99
<u>Total Fees & Costs Awarded:</u>	\$13,417.49

Accordingly, the court awards:

- a. **\$13,017.49**, representing attorneys' fees and costs. The award shall be in the form of a check made payable jointly to petitioner and Conway, Homer & Chin-Caplan in the amount of **\$13,017.49**; and
- b. **\$400.00**, representing petitioner's costs. The award shall be in the form of a check made payable to petitioner for **\$400.00**.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 9, 2015

/s/ Laura D. Millman
 Laura D. Millman
 Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party, either separately or jointly, filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.